


# Superbugs

Clinical Senate  
February 2016  
Professor Julie Quinlivan

# Terms of Reference

- 🌐 Literature review
- 🌐 Environmental scan
- 🌐 Survey of membership
- 🌐 Facilitated session



## **More than 90% said the Clinical Senate...**

Promotes clinician engagement

Contributes to healthcare reform

Contributes to knowledge of contemporary health issues

Provides feedback to healthcare decision-makers

Promotes clinician networking

## More than 90% said the Clinical Senate...

Provides an avenue for debates on important health issues

Enables clinicians to make a difference to health at a state level

Helps clinicians think about the big picture of healthcare reform

Helps clinicians share their knowledge

Provides different perspectives that enhanced work

# Independence

*“It should stay as an independent clinician led forum.”*



# Membership

*“Members need to retain their independence and be able to feedback into many forums.”*




# Boards

*“As debates at the CS are relevant to Boards, the recommendations taken to the Department of Health should be submitted to Boards. Board Chairs should be invited to participate in the CS.”*



# Accountability

*“The CS can provide the same expert advice to health boards it formerly provided to the DG by amending reporting lines. However, Boards will need to report back on implementation.”*





# Importance of a state-wide clinician engagement forum

*“Effective and results in  
outcomes.”*



# Superbugs

*Preserve the miracle of  
antibiotics – No action  
today, no cure tomorrow*



*World Health Organisation*

<https://vimeo.com/144825327>